SHE I SHIVRAJ PATIL: You cannot go on interrupting like this.

SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE: X cannot show you. You have to see.

If you do not see, how can I show you?

SHRI SHIVRAJ PATIL; Am I expected to answer t_0 his interruptions?

MR. CHAIRMAN: I told you. You are supposed to ignore completely all the interruptions. Mr. Chatterjee, you say there is, and h_e says there is none. How can you reconcile this?

SHRI SHIVRAJ PATIL: The point I am making, and the hon. Member would like to hear it, I suppose, is that our set-up we have one organisation for putting up, for maintaining and running the atomic power stations. There is a separate organisation which is not working under the first org\misa*ion, and that separate crganisa[1] ion is responsible for inspecting and finding out whether there is radiation in the plant, around the plant. All these factors are taken into account by that separate independent organisation under the Atomic Energy Commission. This kind of arrangement is made to see that those wh_0 run the plant do not give information on radiation activity inside an<j outside the plant, which is not in consonance with the reality. These aie two independent entities created 'tor this purpose, to see that the first organisation does not give an information which may not really be in consonance with the reality over th're. There is already separation be) Veen these two organisations. If some .ody writes a report, and if anybody who is writing $_a$ report, is allowed to go to the atomic power plants tnd make examination the question is whether they will have equipments necessary, whether they will have the information necessary whether they will have the capabilities for finding out whether really there. is radiation or not. So, by simply writing articles, they do not $et a right er they do not acquire the capabilities for finding out whether, there is radiation or net. Scientifically these institutions have been created. They have scientific equipments. And I have been saying that there have been accidents in other $plant_s$ but not in atomic power plants.

Sir, I want t_0 correct that there have been no deaths caused due to radiation accidents in India.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Question No. 224.

Construction of an al_r base by Pakistan in Pak-occupied Kashmir

*224. SHRI SYED AHMAD HASHMI: Will the Minister of EXTERNAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item which appeared in the The Times of India of 7th March, 1986 to the effect that Pakistan, has constructed an air base in the Pak-occupied Kashmir;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) whether any protest ha_3 been londged with the Pakistan Government?

THE MINISTER OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI B R. BHAGAT): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Pakistan i_g reported to be constructing airfields at Rawalkot and Muzaffarabad in Pak-Occupied Kashmir.

(c) A strong protest hag been lodg ed with the Pakistan Govt, and oui views conveyed to them in no un certain terms.

श्री सैयद अहमद हाशमी : सर, अभी जैसा कि आनरेबल मिनिस्टर ने बतलाया कि यह एक वाकया है कि पाकिस्तान अकुपाइड काश्मीर में इस तरह की हरकतें हो रही हैं जिससे

कि हिन्दुस्तान की सिक्युरिटी को खतरा है । क्या मज्ज प्रोटेस्ट से हम अपनी सिक्युरिटी का सालूशन हल कर सकते हैं ?

†[شری سید احمد هاشمی : سر - ایسی - جیسا که آنریبل ممبر نے بتایا که یه ایک واقعه هے که ہندوستان اکوپائیڈ کشمیر میں اس طرح کی حرکتیں هو رهی هیں - جس سے که هندوستان کی سیکورٹی کو خطرہ هے - کیا محض پروٹیسٹ سے هم اپنی سیکورٹی کا سالوشن حل کر سکتے هیں -]

श्री बलि राम भगत : सभापति महोदय, केवल प्रोटेस्ट ही नहीं और भी कदम उठाये जा रहे हैं और बार बार यह बताया जा चुका है कि जहां तक हमारे मुल्क की सिक्युरिटी का सवाल है उसमें हम कोई कोर कसर बाकी नहीं रखेंगे । यह जो कुछ भी हो रहा है हम उससे वाकिफ हैं । प्रोटेस्ट तो हम को करना है क्योंकि वह गलत काम कर रहा है, इल्लीगल काम कर रहे हैं। तो हमने वह भी किया है । वह एक जाबते के तौर पर ठीक है ।

श्री सैयद अहमद हाशमी : इससे मालूम यह हुआ कि यह सिर्फ जाबते की खानापूरी है, लेकिन इस तरीके की हरकतें तो बराबर हो रही हैं । तो क्या कोई डयूरेबल सलूशन इसका सोचा है ? अभी बीच में कई मर्तबा गुफ्तगूएं हुई हैं हिन्दुस्तान और पाकिस्तान के दरम्यान—क्या इस बात की तरफ भी तवज्जह दिलाई गई है कि यह जो तरीका अख्तियार किया गया है पाकिस्तान की तरफ से, वह हिंदुस्तान के बारे में उसकी दोस्ती का निशान नहीं बनता, बल्कि उसके मुकाबले में दुश्मनी का यह निशान बनता है । इस शक्ल के अंदर क्या यह नेगोसिएशंज जारी रहने चाहिए या नहीं रहने चाहिएं ?

†[شری سید احمد هاشمی : س سے معلوم هوا که یه صرف ضابطے کی خانه پوری هے - لیکن اس طریقے کی حرکتیں جو برابر هو رهی هیں- تو کیا کوئی ڈیوریبل سلوشن اسکا سوچا هے - ابھی بیچ میں کئی مرتبه گفتگو هوئی هے - هندوستان اور پاکستان کے درمیان - کیا اس بات کی طرف توجه دلائی گئی هے که یه جو طریقه اختیار کیا گیا هے پاکستان کی طرف سے- وه هندوستان کے بارے میں اسکی دوستی کا نشان نہیں بنتا - بلکه اسکے مقابلے میں دشمنی کا یه نشان بنتا هے - اس شکل کے اندر کیا یه نیگوسی ایشنس جاری رهنی چاهئے - یا نہیں جاری رهنی چاهئے -]

श्री बलिराम भगत : इस पर भी जब कभी पाकिस्तान से बात हुई है, इस चीज का जिक्र आया है और जो पाकिस्तान में हो रहा है, वहां जो हवाई-अड्डे बन रहे हैं, नये बन रहे हैं, पुरानों को मजबूत किया जा रहा है या वह जो सब आर्म्स वगैरह इकट्ठे कर रहे हैं बाहर से आता है, अमरीका से आता है, इन सब बातों पर, यह सब ऐसे फैक्टर्ज हैं, जो माननीय सदस्य ठीक कहते हैं कि यह बहुत गहरी चिंता की बात है और जब कभी भी पाकिस्तान से दोस्ती की बात होती है, नार्मेलाइजेशन की, रिश्तों को सामान्य बनाने की, तो यह जरूर जिक्र आता है और इस पर भी दोनों देशों में एक तौर से तो राय है कि कश्मीर के मामले को भी हम शिमला एग्रीमेंट के तहत आपस की बातचीत से तय कर लें और फिर इन चीजों की कोई जरूरत न रहे । यह सब बातें तो होती रहती हैं ।

SHRI GHULAM RASOOL MATTO: There is a distinction bet veen having an airstrip in Pakistan and in occupied Kashm'r. Even according to the Pakistan Government themselves it has been specifically stated that the occupied Kashmir is a territory which i_s not a part of Pakistan. Under the United Nations resolutions also occupied Kashmir is not part of Pakistan In case the hon. Minister fails to convince the Pakistan Government to accede to our request and d_0 away with these bases in Pak occupied Kashmir, will he think of a feasibility of referring the matter to the United Nations in view of the resolutions passed by that body that the territory does not belong to Pakistan?

" MR. CHAIRMAN; The House seem* to be against your suggestion.

SHRI B. R. BHAGAT: I don't know whether it is the official policy of the party he represents, but so far as we are concerned and India is concerned it is an integral part of our country and any activity undertaken by Pakistan itself or in collaboration with anyone else is illegal and it has a bearing on our security.

SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRA-KANT BHANDARE: It is reported that three air-strips have been constructed in the Pak-held are* of Jammu and Kashmir at Muzaffarpur, a_s has been stated by the Minister, at Mirpur and at Dawni. These air-strips have been equipped with powerful and sophisticated radar system. What is distressing and significant is that the Dawni airstrip was constructed under the supervision of the US Defence experts for sophisticated aircraft like F-16 acquired from the USA. And there are three more powerful radars installed near *he actual line of control at Toolipir, Chambagali anfl Ghor Maidan. In view of these intense nativities, the question is verv obvious and it arises really ou' of the question to the External Affairs Minister when he also combines with it the role of the Defence Ministry. May I, therefore, ask the Government whether a serious view of -his has been taken and steps are being taken to dismantle this looming threat over our territory.

SHRI B. R. BHAGAT; Sir, we are aware of the air-$strip_3$ 'ike Gilgit and Skardu which are being improved. We are also aware of the three new air-strips which are being construct-ed. This is an area which poses a direct threat to our security. We take all measures in order to safeguard our security and our national interest.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH: Mr. Chairman, Sir, the current budget allocation of* Pakistan occupied Kashmir for liberation of Kashmir is Rs. 1.88 million. (I?!terruptions)

SHRI J. K. JAIN: You correct yourself first. (Interruption*)

SOME HON. MEMBERS: It is Pakistan occupied Kashmir but not what he said.

DR. (SHRIMATI) NAJMA HEPTULLA: Mr. Chairman, Sir, :ust one point. It i_s Pak-occupied Kashmir but not what the hon. Member said.

MR. CHAIRMAN; No. no, he only meant Pak-occupied Kashmir. Why do you protest too much? (Interruptions)

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY: Mr. Jas-want Singh is more patriotic than you.

MR. CHAIRMAN; Record will contain Pak-occupied Kashmir and ^{n}°t what the hon. Member gaid.

SHRI JASWANT SINGH; There is a budgetary allocation of Rs. 1.88 million for the liberation of Kashmir. Now. I woultf like to know from the Honourable Minister, whether this arocation of Rs. 1.88 million for so-called liberation of Kashmir has any-thin? to do wi'h these air-fields? Whether this has come to the notice of the Government of India? If it had come, what the Government has

*Word substituted as ordered by the Chair.

done about it? This is a govt of clarification. Why there is an allocation of Rs. 138 mi lion especially under classification 'for liberation of Kashmir'?

SHK1 B. R. BHAGAT; We knew about it. We have *aken it up with them. Actually I have taken it up personally with the Foreign Minister of that coun'ry when he was here recently. Our Ambassador also has taken it up with them. First they said that 'hey were not aware of it. Then they said they will look into it. Then they did look into it. Then, we have said that 'his is a very, very negative factor, undesirable factor in providtng such big sum for liberation of Kashmir. Then aeain they came out and said that thi$_s$ a very small sum and was done by mis'ake. They have advanced various pleas; and said that there is such a provision in the Pak-budget for so-called liberation of Kashmir. We have strongly taken it up with them.

श्री जे० के० जैन : सभापति महोदय, मैं माननीय मंत्री जी से यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जो पाकिस्तान अधिकृत काश्मीर में हवाई अड्डे बनाए जा रहे हैं उसमें चीन की मदद मिल रही है और अभी हाल में क्या चीनी विशेषज्ञों का एक दल इन हवाई अड्डों के निरीक्षण के लिए वहां गया था ? यदि ऐसा है, तो क्या चीन की सरकार के साथ भारत की सरकार ने किसी प्रकार का कोई प्रोटेस्ट किया है ?

SHRI B. R. BHAGAT: Sii. we have protested to the Chinese Government a'so and the Government's position i$_g$ very clear and categorical. Our Government's reaction in this regard has been expressed on different occasions that neither Pakistan nor China has any *Incus standi* in POK. Any such action by Pakistan individually or in joint collaboration with China in any area which is Jin integral part of India is illegal and has a bearing on our security.

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Mr. Chairman, Sir, I wou d like to know from the Minister, whether ^tie action of Government will limit only to lodge protests? When was this protest lodged? Whe'.her the Government of India are aware of the air-bases being constructed in POK? In view of the Pakistan's confrontation and involvement in Punjab, wil' the Government give any time-limit to vacate our area or dismantle their air-bases in POK?

SHRI B. R. BHAGAT; Sir, what is the last part of his question?

SHRI S. W. DHABE: Will you give them any time-limit to vacate our area?

SHRI B. R. BHAGAT; Sir, I do not know what is the suggestion of the hon. Member in this?

SHRI S. W. DHABE; Sir, I havt already asked what is the logic of raising protest? What further action you are taking?

SHRI B. R. BHAGAT; We take all appropriate action. Protest i* one of them and it is brought to their notice that they are doing an illegal thing. More than that. I do not know. If the hon. Member ha$_3$ any other sug- . gestion let him come forward. *{Interruption)* ,

Industrial schemes submitted by Uttar Pradesh Government for inclusion in th$_e$ Seventh Five Year Plan

•225. SHRI ANAND PRAKASH GAUTAM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state;

(a) what are the details of the new industria[1] schemes including expansion of existing units submitted by the Uttar Pradesh Government particularly for backward districts and rural areas fo$_r$ inclusion in the Seventh Five Year Plan; and

(b) what action the Central Government have taken in the matter?